

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,093	01/02/2001	Jonathan L. Lei	23803-250394	1317
75	590 06/18/2003			
PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRON LLP Suite 1200 725 South Figueroa			EXAMINER	
			LUGO, CARLOS	
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5443			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ы		8
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/753,093	LEI, JONATHAN L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lugo	3677
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the distribution of the cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-70 is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2001</u> is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ obj	ected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	• •	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3677

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-12, drawn to a self-contained business transaction capsule, classified in class 705, subclass 27.
  - Claims 13-31, drawn to a mobile commerce system, classified in class
     705, subclass 27.
  - III. Claims 32-44, drawn to a portable electronic device, classified in class 455, subclass 3.01.
  - IV. Claims 45-58, drawn to a method of providing a self-contained business transaction capsule, classified in class 705, subclass 27.
  - Claims 59-70, drawn to a self-contained business transaction capsule in a mobile commerce system, classified in class 705, subclass 27.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
  - Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the self-contained business transaction capsule does not require an output transformer, it can be previously recorded or placed in the portable electronic device.

Art Unit: 3677

• Inventions Group I and Group III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the self-contained capsule dost not requires a data storage medium.

- Inventions Group I and Group IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:
  (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the capsule can be originally recorded or placed when the portable electronic device was assembled instead of broadcasted to the portable electronic device.
- Inventions Group I and Group V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the self-contained business transaction capsule can be previously recorded in the portable electronic device.

Art Unit: 3677

• Inventions Group II and Group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the portable electronic device can use a different system to obtain the capsule (by previously recorded in the portable electronic device).

- Inventions Group II and Group IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:
  (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the capsule can be originally recorded or placed when the portable electronic device was assembled instead of broadcasted to the portable electronic device.
- Inventions Group II and Group V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the capsule can be originally recorded or placed when the portable electronic device was assembled instead of broadcasted to the portable electronic device.

Art Unit: 3677

• Inventions Group III and Group IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the capsule can be originally recorded or placed when the portable electronic device was assembled instead of broadcasted to the portable electronic device.

Page 5

- Inventions Group III and Group V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the capsule can be originally recorded or placed when the portable electronic device was assembled instead of broadcasted to the portable electronic device.
- Inventions Group IV and Group V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:
  (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the capsule can be originally recorded or placed when the portable electronic device was assembled instead of broadcasted to the portable electronic device.

Art Unit: 3677

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. During a telephone conversation with Eric Chen on June 4, 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-12. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. Claims 13-70 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

### Drawings

- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description:
  - Elements 110,112,114,120 and 130 are nor described in the specification.

A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 contains the trademark/trade name Bluetooth. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a Bluetooth wireless networking protocol, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-6 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amazon.com (Amazon).

Regarding claim 1, Amazon discloses a self-contained business transaction capsule (a software or account "1-Click", Page 1). The capsule comprises data regarding the wireless transaction and transaction logic to complete the transaction (Page 1).

Art Unit: 3677

The capsule is adapted to be broadcasted to and stored on a portable electronic device (cellular phone or palm).

As to claim 2, Amazon discloses that the data regarding the wireless transaction includes at least one of a price, a transaction description and an image (Page1).

As to claims 3,4 and 12, Amazon discloses that the transaction logic includes at least one of billing and shipping information, order routing information, order status information, shipping status information and transaction rules and the transaction logic is adapted to transmit data from the portable electronic device to a transaction system (Pages 1 and 3).

As to claim 5, Amazon discloses that the completed transaction data is transmitted to the transaction system via at least one of direct dialing with a wireless telephone protocol, utilizing Short Messaging System (SMS) and via Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol (using a cellular or a palm device, Page 1).

As to claim 6, Amazon discloses that the portable electronic device is a mobile wireless-enabled device (cellular or palm).

As to claim 9, Amazon discloses that the capsule is broadcasted to the portable electronic device by at least one of a radio wave, a TV signal, a cellular telephony signal, a satellite signal and an infrared signal.

As to claim 10, Amazon discloses that the portable electronic device includes a container for storing the capsule (memory of the device).

As to claim 11, Amazon discloses that the capsule communicates to a plurality of systems (to corroborate the credit card, etc) to complete the wireless transaction.

Art Unit: 3677

Page 9

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form

the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application

by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this

title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act

of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29,

2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C.

102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

10. Claims 1-6 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

US Pat No 6,512,919 to Ogasawara.

Regarding claim 1, Ogasawara discloses a self-contained business transaction

capsule (Col. 3 Lines 4-13). The capsule comprises data regarding the wireless

transaction and transaction logic to complete the transaction.

The capsule is adapted to be broadcasted to and stored on a portable electronic

device (when the person dials the store number).

As to claim 2, Ogasawara discloses that the data regarding the wireless

transaction includes at least one of a price, a transaction description and an image

(Col. 14 Lines 23-28).

Art Unit: 3677

As to claims 3,4 and 12, Ogasawara discloses that the transaction logic includes at least one of billing and shipping information, order routing information, order status information, shipping status information and transaction rules and the transaction logic is adapted to transmit data from the portable electronic device to a transaction system (Col. 14 Lines 23-49).

As to claim 5, Ogasawara discloses that the completed transaction data is transmitted to the transaction system via at least one of direct dialing with a wireless telephone protocol, utilizing Short Messaging System (SMS) and via Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol (using a cellular 18).

As to claim 6, Ogasawara discloses that the portable electronic device is a mobile wireless-enabled device (cellular).

As to claim 9, Ogasawara discloses that the capsule is broadcasted to the portable electronic device by at least one of a radio wave, a TV signal, a cellular telephony signal, a satellite signal and an infrared signal (Col. 5 Lines 1-9).

As to claim 10, Ogasawara discloses that the portable electronic device includes a container for storing the capsule (memory of the device).

As to claim 11, Ogasawara discloses that the capsule communicates to a plurality of systems (to corroborate the credit card, etc) to complete the wireless transaction.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3677

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amazon.com (Amazon) in view of Bluetooth.

Amazon fails to disclose that the portable electronic device utilizes a Bluetooth wireless networking protocol. Amazon discloses that any wireless portable electronic device can be used (cellular, palm, etc).

Bluetooth teaches that is known in the art to have a portable electronic device that use a Bluetooth wireless networking protocol (Pages 2 and 4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a Bluetooth wireless networking protocol, as taught by Bluetooth, into a portable electronic device as described by Amazon, in order to have a better communication.

13. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 6,512,919 to Ogasawara in view of Bluetooth.

Ogasawara fails to disclose that the portable electronic device utilizes a Bluetooth wireless networking protocol. Ogasawara discloses that any wireless portable electronic device can be used (cellular, palm, etc).

Bluetooth teaches that is known in the art to have a portable electronic device that use a Bluetooth wireless networking protocol (Pages 2 and 4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a Bluetooth wireless networking protocol, as taught by

Page 11

Art Unit: 3677

Bluetooth, into a portable electronic device as described by Ogasawara, in order to have a better communication.

Page 12

14. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amazon.com (Amazon) in view of E-Commerce Times, "Amazon and Sprint debut wireless Net Shopping (E-Commerce).

Amazon fails to disclose that the capsule is adapted to readily transmit from the portable electronic device to another portable electronic device.

E-Commerce teaches that Amazon is able to send gifts to other people using the wireless net shopping. Also, E-Commerce teaches that Sprint launches a PCS wireless web that offer many Internet tools (inside the wireless web) that includes sending or receiving emails (See Page 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to send a capsule (via email or other internet tool), as taught by E-Commerce, into the devices used by the people using Amazon, in order to permit other people to use the system.

15. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 6,512,919 to Ogasawara in view of E-Commerce Times, "Amazon and Sprint debut wireless Net Shopping (E-Commerce).

Ogasawara fails to disclose that the capsule is adapted to readily transmit from the portable electronic device to another portable electronic device.

Art Unit: 3677

E-Commerce teaches that Sprint launches a PCS wireless web that offer many

Page 13

Internet tools (inside the wireless web) that includes sending or receiving emails

(See Page 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to send a capsule (via email or other internet tool), as taught by

E-Commerce, into the devices used by the people using the system described by

Ogasawara, in order to permit other people to use the system.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The patents cited further show the state of the art with respect

to self-contained business transaction capsules.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo. The examiner phone number is (703)-

305-9747. The fax number for correspondence before a final action is (703)-872-

9326 and the fax number for correspondence after final action is (703)-872-9327.

The email direction of the examiner is carlos.lugo@uspto.gov. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30am to 6:30pm (EST). If the

examiner is not available, please leave a message, including the application number

and the examiner will answer the message as soon as possible.

June 5, 2003

J. J. SWANN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600